# Integrable and Non-Integrable Systems of Competing Populations

Zhibek Kadyrsizova

Nazarbayev University

zhibek.kadyrsizova@nu.edu.kz

July 15, 2017

July 15, 2017 1 / 18

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n$ satisfying the following properties

July 15, 2017 2 / 18

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n$ satisfying the following properties

• The matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is antisymmetric, that is,  $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$ . In particular,  $a_{ii} = 0$ .

July 15, 2017 2 / 18

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n$ satisfying the following properties

- The matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is antisymmetric, that is,  $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$ . In particular,  $a_{ii} = 0$ .
- We have a conservation law: the Hamiltonian  $H(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = h = \text{constant.}$

イロト (過) (ヨ) (ヨ) (ヨ) ヨー ののの

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \dot{x}_i = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

for all  $1 \le i \le n$ satisfying the following properties

- The matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is antisymmetric, that is,  $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$  for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$ . In particular,  $a_{ii} = 0$ .
- We have a conservation law: the Hamiltonian  $H(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = h = \text{constant.}$

The goal is to study the integrability and solvablity of the system by imposing the strong Panleve property, that is we look for solutions which have **only (simple) poles** as their movable singularities. Moreover, their Laurent series expansions around them depend on n free parameters (including the singularity itself).

In this case the system is

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Ax_2 + Bx_3)$$
  
 $\dot{x_2} = x_2(-Ax_1 + Cx_3)$   
 $\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - Cx_2)$ 

▶ < 둘 ▶ 둘 ∽ ९. July 15, 2017 3 / 18

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

In this case the system is

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Ax_2 + Bx_3)$$
  
 $\dot{x_2} = x_2(-Ax_1 + Cx_3)$   
 $\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - Cx_2)$ 

Let  $t_*$  denote the location of the movable singularity and  $\tau = t - t_*$ .

メロト メポト メヨト メヨト

In this case the system is

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Ax_2 + Bx_3)$$
  
 $\dot{x_2} = x_2(-Ax_1 + Cx_3)$   
 $\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - Cx_2)$ 

Let  $t_*$  denote the location of the movable singularity and  $\tau = t - t_*$ . Consider the Laurent series expansions of the solutions near  $t_*$ .

$$x_1 = \alpha \tau^p + \dots x_2 = \beta \tau^q + \dots x_3 = \gamma \tau^s + \dots$$

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

July 15, 2017 3 / 18

(日) (周) (三) (三)

In this case the system is

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Ax_2 + Bx_3)$$
  
 $\dot{x_2} = x_2(-Ax_1 + Cx_3)$   
 $\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - Cx_2)$ 

Let  $t_*$  denote the location of the movable singularity and  $\tau = t - t_*$ . Consider the Laurent series expansions of the solutions near  $t_*$ .

$$x_1 = \alpha \tau^p + \dots x_2 = \beta \tau^q + \dots x_3 = \gamma \tau^s + \dots$$

where  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Substitute these expressions into the system and we consider the most singular case

$$p=-1,\quad q=-1,\quad s=-1$$

Zhibek Kadyrsizova (NU)

July 15, 2017 3 / 18

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Thus we are reduced to the situation

$$x_{1} = \alpha \tau^{-1} + a_{0} + a_{1}\tau + \ldots + a_{r-1}\tau^{r-1} + \ldots$$
  

$$x_{2} = \beta \tau^{-1} + b_{0} + b_{1}\tau + \ldots + b_{r-1}\tau^{r-1} + \ldots$$
  

$$x_{3} = \gamma \tau^{-1} + c_{0} + c_{1}\tau + \ldots + c_{r-1}\tau^{r-1} + \ldots$$

▶ < 둘 ▶ 둘 ∽ ९. July 15, 2017 4 / 18

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1\\ -1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B\\ -A & 0 & C\\ -B & -C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\\ \beta\\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ -A & 0 & C \\ -B & -C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the determinant of the coefficient matrix is 0. Therefore, if the solution exists it is not unique. In other words, there are free variables.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1\\ -1\\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B\\ -A & 0 & C\\ -B & -C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha\\ \beta\\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the determinant of the coefficient matrix is 0. Therefore, if the solution exists it is not unique. In other words, there are free variables. Check if the system is consistent:

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & A & B \\ -1 & 0 & C \\ -1 & -C & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & B \\ -A & -1 & C \\ -B & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & -1 \\ -A & 0 & -1 \\ -B & -C & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

July 15, 2017 5 / 18

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & B \\ -A & 0 & C \\ -B & -C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice that the determinant of the coefficient matrix is 0. Therefore, if the solution exists it is not unique. In other words, there are free variables. Check if the system is consistent:

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & A & B \\ -1 & 0 & C \\ -1 & -C & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & B \\ -A & -1 & C \\ -B & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A & -1 \\ -A & 0 & -1 \\ -B & -C & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This leads to the condition C = B - A. Moreover, the solution of this system is

$$\alpha = \text{free}, \quad \beta = \frac{1 - B\alpha}{C}, \quad \gamma = \frac{A\alpha - 1}{C}$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Substitute C = B - A and  $x_3 = h - x_1 - x_2$  into the system

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Bh + (A - B)x_2 - Bx_1)$$
  
$$\dot{x_2} = x_2((B - A)h - Bx_1 + (A - B)x_2)$$
  
$$\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - (B - A)x_2)$$

Substitute C = B - A and  $x_3 = h - x_1 - x_2$  into the system

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Bh + (A - B)x_2 - Bx_1)$$
  
$$\dot{x_2} = x_2((B - A)h - Bx_1 + (A - B)x_2)$$
  
$$\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - (B - A)x_2)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{x_1}}{x_1} - \frac{\dot{x_3}}{x_3} = Bh, \quad \frac{\dot{x_2}}{x_2} - \frac{\dot{x_3}}{x_3} = (B - A)h$$

July 15, 2017 6 / 18

Substitute C = B - A and  $x_3 = h - x_1 - x_2$  into the system

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(Bh + (A - B)x_2 - Bx_1)$$
  
$$\dot{x_2} = x_2((B - A)h - Bx_1 + (A - B)x_2)$$
  
$$\dot{x_3} = x_3(-Bx_1 - (B - A)x_2)$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{\dot{x_1}}{x_1} - \frac{\dot{x_3}}{x_3} = Bh, \quad \frac{\dot{x_2}}{x_2} - \frac{\dot{x_3}}{x_3} = (B - A)h$$

giving us

$$\frac{x_1}{x_3}e^{-Bht} = K_1, \quad \frac{x_2}{x_3}e^{-(B-a)ht} = K_2$$

where  $K_1, K_2$  are free constants.

July 15, 2017 6 / 18

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト 二日

Hence,

$$\frac{\dot{x_3}}{x_3} = -Bx_1 - (B - A)x_2 = -BK_1x_3e^{Bht} - (B - A)x_3K_2e^{(B - a)ht}$$

$$\frac{\dot{x_3}}{x_3^2} = -BK_1e^{Bht} - (B-A)K_2e^{(B-a)ht}$$
$$\frac{1}{x_3} = K_1e^{Bht} + K_2(B-A)e^{(B-a)ht} + K_3$$

July 15, 2017 7 / 18

## Finally, the integral is

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{K_1 e^{Bht}}{K_1 e^{Bht} + K_2 (B-A) e^{(B-a)ht} + K_3}, \\ x_2 &= \frac{K_2 e^{(B-A)ht}}{K_1 e^{Bht} + K_2 (B-A) e^{(B-a)ht} + K_3}, \\ x_3 &= \frac{1}{K_1 e^{Bht} + K_2 (B-A) e^{(B-a)ht} + K_3} \end{aligned}$$

Zhibek Kadyrsizova (NU)

▶ < 둘 ▶ 둘 ∽ ९. July 15, 2017 8 / 18

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

It can be observed in the study of particular cases that the coefficients of the antisymmetric matrix A satisfy the following restraining relations

$$a_{ij} = a_{i,k} + a_{k,j}$$

for all  $1 \leq i < k < j \leq n$ .

(日) (周) (三) (三)

To obtain the general solution, we rewrite the equations, using that  $H(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = h = \text{constant}$ , as follows

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}x_3 + \ldots + a_{1,n-1}x_{n-1} + a_{1n}(h - x_1 - x_2 - \ldots + x_{n-1}))$$

$$\dot{x_2} = x_2(-a_{12}x_1 + a_{23}x_3 + \ldots + a_{2,n-1}x_{n-1} + a_{2n}(h - x_1 - x_2 - \ldots + x_{n-1}))$$

. . .

$$\dot{x_{n-1}} = x_{n-1}(-a_{1,n-1}x_1 + a_{2,n-1}x_2 - \ldots - a_{n-2,n-1}x_{n-2} + a_{n-1,n}(h - x_1 - x_2 - \ldots + x_{n-1})$$

$$\dot{x_n} = x_n(-a_{1,n}x_1 - a_{2,n}x_2 - \ldots - a_{n-2,n}x_{n-2} - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})$$

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

#### Simplify

July 15, 2017 11 / 18

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > ○ < ○

Then using the restraining relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x_1} &= x_1 (a_{1n}h - a_{2n}x_2 - a_{3n}x_3 + \dots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1} - a_{1n}x_1) \\ \dot{x_2} &= x_2 (a_{2n}h - a_{1n}x_1 - a_{3n}x_3 - \dots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1} - a_{2n}x_2) \\ \dots \\ \dot{x_{n-1}} &= x_{n-1} (a_{n-1,n}h - a_{1,n}x_1 - a_{2,n}x_2 - \dots - a_{n-2,n}x_{n-2} - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1}) \\ \dot{x_n} &= x_n (-a_{1,n}x_1 + a_{2,n}x_2 + \dots - a_{n-2,n}x_{n-2} + a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

July 15, 2017 12 / 18

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 三目 - のへで

Observe

$$\dot{x_1} = x_1(a_{1n}h - a_{2n}x_2 - a_{3n}x_3 + \ldots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1} - a_{1n}x_1)$$

$$\dot{x}_2 = x_2(a_{2n}h - a_{1n}x_1 - a_{3n}x_3 - \ldots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1} - a_{2n}x_2)$$

$$x_{n-1} = x_{n-1}(a_{n-1,n}h - a_{1,n}x_1 - a_{2,n}x_2 - \ldots - a_{n-2,n}x_{n-2} - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})$$

$$\dot{x_n} = x_n(-a_{1,n}x_1 + a_{2,n}x_2 + \ldots - a_{n-2,n}x_{n-2} + a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})$$

Denote by  $Q = -a_{1n}x_1 - a_{2n}x_2 - a_{3n}x_3 + \ldots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1}$ .

$$Q = -a_{1n}x_1 - a_{2n}x_2 - a_{3n}x_3 + \ldots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1}$$

Then we have

$$\frac{\dot{x_1}}{x_1} = a_{1n}h + Q = a_{1n}h + \frac{\dot{x_n}}{x_n}$$
$$\frac{\dot{x_2}}{x_2} = a_{2n}h + Q = a_{2n}h + \frac{\dot{x_n}}{x_n}$$

$$\frac{\dot{x_{n-1}}}{x_{n-1}} = a_{n-1,n}h + Q = a_{n-1,n}h + \frac{\dot{x_n}}{x_n}$$

. . .

$$\frac{x_n}{x_n} = Q$$

Zhibek Kadyrsizova (NU)

July 15, 2017 14 / 18

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ 三国 - のへで

Finally, we have the solution

$$x_i(t) = C_i x_n(t) e^{a_{in}ht}$$

for all  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . To find  $x_n(t)$ ,

$$\frac{\dot{x_n}}{x_n} = -a_{1n}x_1 - a_{2n}x_2 - a_{3n}x_3 + \ldots - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1} = -x_n\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}C_ia_{ih}e^{a_{in}ht}$$

$$\frac{\dot{x}_n}{x_n^2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i a_{in} e^{a_{in}ht}$$

$$\frac{1}{x_n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i a_{ih} \int_0^t e^{a_{in}ht} \mathrm{d}t$$

Therefore, we have

$$x_n = \frac{h}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} C_i e^{a_{in}ht} + C_n}$$

▲口▶ ▲圖▶ ▲園▶ ▲園▶ -

Consider the following perturbation of the original system

$$\dot{x_1} = \lambda_1 x_1 + x_1 (a_{12} x_2 + a_{13} x_3 + \ldots + a_{1,n-1} x_{n-1} + a_{1n} x_n)$$

$$\dot{x_2} = \lambda_2 x_2 + x_2 (-a_{12}x_1 + a_{23}x_3 + \ldots + a_{2,n-1}x_{n-1} + a_{2n}x_n)$$

. . .

$$\dot{x_{n-1}} = \lambda_{n-1}x_{n-1} + x_{n-1}(-a_{1,n-1}x_1 + a_{2,n-1}x_2 - \dots - a_{n-2,n-1}x_{n-2} + a_{n-1,n}x_n)$$
  
$$\dot{x_n} = \lambda_n x_n + x_n(-a_{1,n}x_1 - a_{2,n}x_2 - \dots - a_{n-2,n}x_{n-2} - a_{n-1,n}x_{n-1})$$

The method that we used above cannot be applied to this system since the Hamiltonian  $H(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$  cannot be constant. Moreover, this system turns out to be non-integrable.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

**Reference**: T. Bountis and P. Vanheacke, Lotka-Volterra systems satisfying a strong Painleve property, Physics Letters A, Volume 380, Issue 47, 9 December 2016, pp 3977-3982

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

### THANK YOU!

Zhibek Kadyrsizova (NU)

July 15, 2017 18 / 18